

## Message Text

PAGE 01 LUXEMB 00709 060740Z

21-12

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /026 W

----- 033538

P R 051805Z OCT 73

FM AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 3595

INFO AMEMBASSY ANKARA

AMEMBASSY ATHENS

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY LISBON

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY OSLO

AMEBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK

AMEMBASSY ROME

USMISSION EC BURSSELS UNN

USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

S E C R E T LUXEMBOURG 0709

EXDIS

E.O. 11652 GDS

TAGS: PFOR, EEC, NATO, LU

SUBJ: US RELATIONS WITH EC AND NATO

SUMMARY: MFA SECRETARY GENERAL PIERRE WURTH, WHO IS LUXEMBOURG'S REPRESENTATIVE ON THE EC POLITICAL COMMITTEE, TOLD US THAT HE IS RELATIVELY OPTIMISTIC FOLLOWING THE EC-US MEETINGS IN NEW YORK. WURTH SUGGESTED, HOWEVER, THAT THE US MUST CLEARLY DEFINE SOME OF THE TERMS WE FAVOR, FOR EXAMPLE "PARTNERSHIP", "COMMON PURPOSES", AND "CONSULTATIVE AND COOPERATIVE ARRANGEMENTS". HE EXPLAINED THAT THE NON-EC COUNTRIES IN NATO WOULD STRONGLY OBJECT TO STRATEGIC QUESTIONS

SECRET

PAGE 02 LUXEMB 00709 060740Z

BEING DISCUSSED IN THE US-EC DECLARATION. WURTH ALSO MENTIONED THAT HE HOPED THE US WOULD UNDERSTAND THAT A DEGREE OF POLITICAL CONSULTATION AMONG THE NINE WAS NECESSARY AS A STEP TOWARDS EVENTUAL EUROPEAN POLITICAL

INTEGRATION. IN ORDER TO RESPECT THE GOL VIEW OF "EUROPEANISM", LUXEMBOURG WILL PROBABLY FIRST COMMENT ON US AMENDMENTS TO THE EC DRAFT WHEN THE EC POLITICAL DIRECTORS MEET IN COPENHAGEN ON OCTOBER 11-12. ON THE QUESTION OF A NATO DECLARATION, WURTH SUGGESTED THAT THE US MIGHT DO BEST TO AGREE TO USE THE FRENCH DRAFT AS THE BASIS FOR A FINAL DECLARATION. HE STRONGLY SUPPORTED OUR VIEWS THAT THE NATO MEETING SHOULD TAKE PLACE AT A HEAD-OF-GOVERNMENT LEVEL. END SUMMARY

1. SEPTEMBER 29 MEETING IN NEW YORK BETWEEN EC POLITICAL COMMITTEE AND US OFFICIALS

WURTH COMMENTED THAT HE WAS "RELATIVELY OPTIMISTIC" AFTER THIS MEETING SINCE THE SPIRIT AND CONTENT OF THE DISCUSSIONS WERE POSITIVE. IN GIVING US HIS PRELIMINARY REACTIONS TO US AMENDMENTS BEFORE HE CONSULTED FOREIGN MINISTER THORN, WURTH SAID THAT HE WANTED THE US TO FURTHER DEFINE EXACTLY WHAT WE MEAN BY "PARTNERSHIP", ESPECIALLY WHEN WE REFER TO IT IN AN EAST-WEST CONTEXT. HE REPEATED THE COMMENT THAT HE HAD MADE IN THE SEPTEMBER 29 MEETING WHEN HE ASKED THAT OUR REFERENCE TO "COMMON PURPOSES" BE CLEARLY EXPLAINED. WURTH ADDED THAT THE EC AND THE US SHOULD MAKE PERFECTLY CLEAR WHETHER THIS CONCEPT OF PARTNERSHIP EXTENDS TO OUR COMMON RELATIONS WITH THE REST OF THE WORLD.

2. WITH REGARD TO THE USE OF THE WORD "DETENTE", WURTH SAID THAT HE BELIEVED THAT THIS SUBJECT COULD BEST BE HANDLED IN A NATO CONTEXT. IN THIS CONNECTION HE ADDED THAT ANY REFERENCES TO "AN ATLANTIC ALLIANCE" AND TO A "COMMON DEFENSE" SHOULD ALSO BE COVERED IN THE NATO FORUM. WURTH SAID THAT THE EC AND THE US MUST BE EXTREMELY CAREFUL NOT TO ALARM THE NON-EC MEMBERS OF NATO BY DEVOTING AN IMPORTANT PART OF THE EC-US DECLARATION TO STRATEGIC CONSIDERATIONS. THE CANADIANS AND NORWEIGIANS WERE ESPECIALLY SENSITIVE ON THESE POINTS AND HAD MADE CLEAR TO THE GOL THAT THEY WERE OPPOSED TO

SECRET

PAGE 03 LUXEMB 00709 060740Z

ANY STRATEGIC DISCUSSION IN A STRICTLY EC-US FORUM.

3. WURTH SAID THAT THE POLITICAL COMMITTEE MEMBERS DID NOT HAVE A CHANCE TO DISCUSS THE RESULTS OF THE SEPTEMBER 29 MEETING AMONG THEMSELVES WHILE IN NEW YORK. THEY DID MEET LATER IN THE DAY BUT ONLY TO DISCUSS EC TACTICS AT THE CSCE NEGOTIATIONS NAMELY, HOW TO RESPOND TO EASTERN OBJECTIONS TO AN EC REPRESENTATIVE ON THE DANISH DELEGATION AT CSCE.

4. CONSULTATION BETWEEN EC AND US  
WURTH SAID THAT HE WANTED TO TELL US FRANKLY THAT HE WAS "SURPRISED" THAT THE US WAS STILL QUESTIONING THE

PRACTICE OF CONSULTATION WITHIN THE NINE BEFORE THE EC  
REPRESENTATIVES HAVE DISCUSSIONS WITH THE US. THOSE  
WITHIN THE EC WHO ARE COMMITTED TO EUROPEAN POLITICAL  
INTEGRATION BELIEVE THAT THE EC POLITICAL COORDINATION,  
WHICH BEGAN IN PREPARATION FOR THE CSCE CONFERENCE, MUST  
CONTINUE. HE COMMENTED THAT THE EC COUNTRIES WOULD, OF  
COURSE, ACCEPT BILATERAL DISCUSSIONS WITH THE US BUT THAT  
THE NINE MUST ALSO AGREE ON COMMON POSITIONS, HOWEVER  
TENTATIVE, THEMSELVES. HE ALSO HOPED THAT THE US WOULD  
HANDLE ANY BILATERAL TALKS IN A SPIRIT OF OPEN EXCHANGE  
AMONG FRIENDS AND ALLIES AND NOT BE TEMPTED TO USE THESE  
CONSULTATIONS AS A WAY TO PROMULGATE DIVISIONS WITHIN  
THE EC. WURTH FURTHER COMMENTED THAT WHILE THE FRENCH  
ARE FAVORABLE TO THE CONTINUANCE OF BILATERAL CONSULTA-  
TION BETWEEN EC COUNTRIES AND THE US, PARIS IS ALSO  
INSISTING ON A COMMON EC POSITION IN DEALINGS WITH THE  
US. PARIS IS "TORN" ON THIS ISSUE BECAUSE THE FRENCH  
REALIZE THAT IF THE FRG AND THE UK RELY HEAVILY ON  
THEIR OWN BILATERAL TALKS WITH THE US TO DISCUSS EUROPEAN  
QUESTIONS, THE FRENCH MIGHT FIND THEMSELVES ISOLATED ON  
MANY ISSUES. WURTH ALSO MENTIONED THAT THE GOL WOULD  
LIKELY RESERVE ITS DETAILED COMMENTS ON THE US AMEND-  
MENTS TO THE EC DRAFT FOR THE MEETING OF EC POLITICAL  
DIRECTORS IN COPENHAGEN ON OCTOBER 11-12. WURTH SAID  
THAT HE COULD NOT BE SURE HOW MANY EC COUNTRIES WOULD  
WANT TO CONSULT BILATERALLY WITH US THROUGH THEIR  
EMBASSIES IN WASHINGTON ON THESE AMENDMENTS BEFORE THE  
OCTOBER 11-12 MEETING.

SECRET

PAGE 04 LUXEMB 00709 060740Z

##### 5. NATO DOCUMENT

WURTH SAID THAT THE GOL REACTED VERY POSITIVELY TO  
THE FRENCH DRAFT OF A NATO DECLARATION. HE SAID THAT  
IT MIGHT BE JUDICIOUS TO USE THE FRENCH DRAFT AS THE  
BASIS FOR A DISCUSSION OF A DECLARATION WITHIN NATO  
RATHER THAN SUBMITTING A RIVAL US DRAFT. HE BELIEVES  
THAT THE FRENCH WOULD BE MORE LIKELY TO ACCEPT CHANGES  
IN THE FORM OF A MODIFICATION OF THEIR OWN DOCUMENT  
RATHER THAN IF THESE IDEAS WERE DELIVERED IN THE FORM OF  
A US DRAFT. ON THE QUESTION OF A SITE FOR THE NATO  
MEETING WITH THE PRESIDENT, WURTH COMMENTED THAT IT  
MIGHT FACILITATE MATTERS IF WE COULD AGREE TO HOLD IT  
IN PARIS. WURTH WENT ON TO SAY THAT HE THOUGHT THE US  
WAS "ABSOLUTELY RIGHT" IN INSISTING THAT THE NATO MEETING  
BE HELD AT HEAD-OF-GOVERNMENT LEVEL AND THAT THE GOL  
WOULD SUPPORT US ON THIS ISSUE. HE THINKS THAT IT IS  
"MOST UNREASONABLE" FOR THE NORWEGIANS, DUTCH, DANISH  
AND POSSIBLY OTHERS TO REFUSE TO MEET WITH THE PORTUGUESE  
AND GREEKS AT HEAD-OF-GOVERNMENT LEVEL. WURTH SAID THAT  
IF THE US, UK, FRANCE AND ITALY ALL AGREED TO HOLD A  
NATO MEETING OF HEADS-OF-GOVERNMENT, THIS VIEW WOULD  
FINALLY PREVAIL AMONG THOSE WHO NOW OBJECT TO THIS

LEVEL. FARKAS

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptoning:** Z  
**Capture Date:** 11 MAY 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** FOREIGN RELATIONS, POLITICAL SITUATION  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 05 OCT 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Decaption Note:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** garlanwa  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973LUXEMB00709  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 GDS  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** P750018-0426  
**From:** LUXEMBOURG  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19731065/abqcefvr.tel  
**Line Count:** 166  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION SS  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 4  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** garlanwa  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 20 JUL 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <20-Jul-2001 by thigpegh>; APPROVED <19-Sep-2001 by garlanwa>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** US RELATIONS WITH EC AND NATO  
**TAGS:** PFOR, LU, US, NATO, EEC  
**To:** STATE INFO ANKARA  
ATHENS  
BONN  
BRUSSELS  
COPENHAGEN  
THE HAGUE  
LISBON

LONDON  
OSLO  
AMEMBASSY OTTAWA  
PARIS  
REYKJAVIK  
ROME  
EC BURSSELS UNN  
NATO BRUSSELS

**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005